**Literature list Global Course**

**Lecture 1**

Mandatory readings

* Ostrom, E. (2011). Background on the Institutional Analysis and. Policy Studies Journal, 39(1), 7–27. [doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2010.00394.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2010.00394.x)
* Albrechts, L. (2004). Strategic (spatial) planning reexamined. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 31(5), 743–758. [doi.org/10.1068/b3065](https://doi.org/10.1068/b3065)

**Lecture 2**

Mandatory readings

* De Roo (2018) Nine cells approach. Online: InPlanning. [www.globalcourse.inplanning.eu/](http://www.globalcourse.inplanning.eu/)

Recommended reading

* Buitelaar, E., Lagendijk, A., & Jacobs, W. (2007). A theory of institutional change: Illustrated by Dutch city-provinces and Dutch land policy. Environment and Planning A, 39(4), 891–908. [www.doi.org/10.1068/a38191](http://www.doi.org/10.1068/a38191)

**Lecture 3**

Mandatory readings

* Williamson, Oliver E. "The New Institutional Economics: Taking Stock, Looking Ahead." Journal of Economic Literature 38, no. 3 (2000): 595-613. [www.jstor.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/stable/2565421](http://www.jstor.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/stable/2565421)
* North, Douglass C. "Economic Theory in a Dynamic Economic World." Business Economics 30, no. 1 (1995): 7-12. [www.jstor.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/stable/23486107](http://www.jstor.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/stable/23486107)

Recommended reading

* Arthur, W. Brian. "Positive Feedbacks in the Economy." Scientific American 262, no. 2 (1990): 92-99. [www.jstor.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/stable/24996687](http://www.jstor.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/stable/24996687)

**Lecture 4**

Mandatory readings

* Ostrom, E., & Basurto, X. (2011). Crafting analytical tools to study  
  institutional change. Journal of Institutional Economics, 7(3), 317–343.  
  [doi.org/10.1017/S1744137410000305](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744137410000305)
* Whittington, Jan. “When to Partner for Public Infrastructure? Transaction Cost Evaluation of Design-Build Delivery.” Journal of the American Planning Association, vol. 78, no. 3, 2012, pp. 269-285. Taylor & Francis Online, [www.doi.org/10.1080/01944363.2012.715510](http://www.doi.org/10.1080/01944363.2012.715510)

Recommended reading

* Pierson, P. (2000). Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of  
  Politics. American Political Science Review, 94(2), 251–267.  
  [doi.org/10.2307/2586011](https://doi.org/10.2307/2586011)

**Lecture 5**

Mandatory readings

* Abramson, Daniel Benjamin. 2006. “Urban Planning in China: Continuity and Change.” Journal of the American Planning Association 72 (2): 197–215. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01944360608976739>
* Healey, Patsy. 1999. “Institutionalist Analysis, Communicative Planning, and Shaping Places”. Journal of Planning Education and Research, 19(2): 111-121. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0739456X9901900201>

Recommended reading

* Zhang, Lei, Rachel M. Tochen, Michael Hibbard, and Zhenghong Tang. 2017. “The Role of Local Leaders in Environmental Concerns in Master Plans: An Empirical Study of China’s Eighty Large Municipalities”. Journal of Planning Education and Research. DOI: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0739456X17699063>

**Lecture 6**

Mandatory readings

* Gaubatz, P. (1999) China’s urban transformation: patterns and processes of morphological change in Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou. Urban Studies, 36(9): 1495-1521. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/0042098992890>
* Han, S. S. (2000) Shanghai: between state and market in urban transformation. Urban Studies, 37(11): 2091-2112. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/713707226>

Recommended reading

* Qin B and Han S S (2013) Emerging polycentricity in Beijing: evidence from housing price variations, 2001-05. Urban Studies 50(10): 2006-2023, <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0042098012471979>

**Lecture 7**

Mandatory readings

* Kantor, P., Savitch, H. V., & Haddock, S. V. (1997). The Political Economy of Urban Regimes. Urban Affairs Review, 32(3), 348–377. [doi.org/10.1177/107808749703200303](https://doi.org/10.1177/107808749703200303)
* Stone, C. N. (2015). Reflections on regime politics: from governing coalition to urban political order. Urban Affairs Review, 51(1), 101–137. [doi.org/10.1177/1078087414558948](https://doi.org/10.1177/1078087414558948)

Lecture 8

Mandatory readings

* Davies, J. S. (2003). Partnership versus regimes: Why regime theory cannot explain urban coalitions in the UK. Journal of Urban Affairs, 25(3), 253–269. [www.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9906.00164](http://www.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9906.00164)

**Lecture 9**

Mandatory readings

* Alexander, E.R. (2006) Institutional Design for Sustainable Development, The Town Planning Review, 77(1): 1-27; [www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40112663.pdf](http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40112663.pdf)
* Van Assche, K., Beunen, R., & Duineveld, M. (2014). Formal/informal dialectics and the self-transformation of spatial planning systems: an exploration. Administration & Society, 46(6), 654-683. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0095399712469194>

Recommended readings

* Raiser, M. (1997). Informal institutions, social capital and economic transition: reflections on a neglected dimension. EBRD.
* Cousins, B. (1997). How do rights become real? Formal and informal institutions in South Africa's land reform. IDS Bulletin, 28(4), 59-68.

**Lecture 10**

Mandatory readings

* To be announced