



Edition 2018-2019

ASSIGNMENT TEMPLATE AND INSTRUCTIONS

Title [fill in the title of the assignment]

Assignment Global Course on Institutional Design for Spatial Planning

Group Description

Student_1 name, Newcastle University
Student_2 name, Newcastle University
Student_3 name, Renmin University
Student_4 name, Renmin University
Student_5 name, University of Washington
Student_6 name, University of Washington
Student_7 name, University of Groningen
Student_8 name, University of Groningen
Student_9 name, University of Tokyo
Student_10 name, University of Tokyo

Abstract

(max. 200 words)

The abstract is a collective effort. Summarize the assignment structure, topic under study and key findings from the specific country chapters and international comparison of the Institutional Design.

1. Introduction

(max. 700 words)

The introductory chapter is a **collective effort** done after the peer-review process. Please describe the topic/planning issue under analysis ('what' is it, 'why' did you choose this planning issue, and 'when' is it encountered in practice by a planner). Develop a sound problem definition that expresses the relevance of analysing a specific spatial planning problem. You are encouraged to think of the scalar level, history, underlying power balance of institutions and their outcomes regarding the chosen topic. The introduction should provide a comprehensive understanding of the planning problem selected.

2. Country Chapters

(max. 1500 words per country description)

This chapter constitutes the country description and reflection elaborated by **each local group**, i.e. you will work together with colleague(s) from your University.

This is the first stage of your Assignment – where you should start working on.

The **deadline** for sending the 'country chapter' to your group colleagues from all other Universities is **May 17, 23:59 (GMT)**.

This is the starting point for the international comparison you will do in the following chapter. Here you will analyse, explain and discuss the impact of the local Institutional Design in your home country



Global Course: Spatial Planning and Institutional Design

on the chosen planning topic. Position the Institutional framework you are studying using the different perspectives presented in the lectures.

Each group should use the **following structure as a guideline** for their country chapter:

1. *How have past decisions impacted the current situation?*
 - a) *Present the historical, cultural and political contexts that shape the planning system/problem.*
2. *How have institutions influenced the situation in recent years?*
 - a) *Map the stakeholders involved - who is responsible for what and to what extent?*
 - b) *Analyse the relationships - the established power struggles, collaboration and/or cooperation structures between stakeholders.*
 - c) *Discuss the outcomes of decision-making in the shape of the city*
3. *What can be expected with regard to future implications and recommendations?*
 - a) *Relate to recent or forthcoming policies or initiatives.*

Recommendation: Use the different perspectives discuss in the lectures in your analysis: Path Dependency, Institutional Economics, Political Economy, Informal Institutions.

2.1. Description of country Institutional Framework – United Kingdom

To be written by the students from Newcastle University. Apply the above suggested structure.

2.2. Description of country Institutional Framework – China

To be written by the students from Renmin University. Apply the above suggested structure.

2.3. Description of country Institutional Framework – USA

To be written by the students from the University of Washington. Apply the above suggested structure.

2.4. Description of country Institutional Framework – Netherlands

To be written by the students from the University of Groningen. Apply the above suggested structure.

2.5. Description of country Institutional Framework – Japan

To be written by the students from the University of Tokyo. Apply the above suggested structure.

3. Peer-review process

The local groups peer-review a 'country chapter' written by the other local groups. For example, students from Groningen University will reflect on the work done by students from the University of Washington and so on. The desired length of the review report is 500 words (fill in peer-review rubrics in Appendix). The review helps the students to extract relevant variables on the basis of which the institutional systems can be compared, and forms the basis for the international comparison.

This is the second stage of your assignment. The **deadline** for submitting the peer-review to your lecturers and colleagues is the **May 24, 23:59 (GMT)**.



Global Course: Spatial Planning and Institutional Design

4. Institutional Design International Comparative Analysis

(max. 2000 words in total)

The Comparative Analysis chapter is a **collective effort** done after the peer-review process.

Together you will work with your colleagues from all the other partnering Universities (make use of online social media platforms) on a comprehensive chapter positioning the Institutional Design of the UK, China, USA, the Netherlands, and Japan.

- Based on the peer-reviewing process, assess to what extent a comparison of a planning system, policies and practices between the countries is feasible and reliable.
- Then, you should verify and summarize the major differences and similarities identified. It is mandatory to highlight how and why the Institutional Design differs between the countries. What are the relevant outcomes that can be compared with other countries?
- Provide a clear and comprehensive comparative analysis among the comparable characteristics of the countries under analysis.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

(max. 500 words in total)

This section results from a **collective effort** from all the authors of the assignment.

This is the last stage of the Assignment. The **deadline** for submitting the final version to your lecturers is the **June 07, 23:59 (GMT)**.

You should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. You should reflect on the comparative analysis produced by your peers on their completeness, accuracy and relevance, while critically reflecting on own research process and outcomes. Thus, a combined Discussion and Conclusion section is appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

References

Use the American Psychological Association reference style.

Appendix – SCORING RUBRIC

Use Moskal (2000) scoring rubric to peer-review your colleagues' work.

PART ONE - ACADEMIC STYLE AND ARGUMENTATION

Asses the academic style of writing, referencing and argumentation skills from:

7 – *Very Good*

The document can be easily followed. A combination of the following are apparent in the document:

- Effective transitions are used throughout,



Global Course: Spatial Planning and Institutional Design

- A professional format is used,
 - The graphics are descriptive and clearly support the document's purpose.
- The document is clear and concise and appropriate grammar is used throughout.

5 - Good

The document can be easily followed. A combination of the following are apparent in the document:

- Basic transitions are used,
- A structured format is used,
- Some supporting graphics are provided, but are not clearly explained.

The document contains minimal distractions that appear in a combination of the following forms:

- Flow in thought
- Graphical presentations
- Grammar/mechanics

3 - Sufficient

Organization of document is difficult to follow due to a combination of following:

- Inadequate transitions
- Rambling format
- Insufficient or irrelevant information
- Ambiguous graphics

The document contains numerous distractions that appear in a combination of the following forms:

- Flow in thought
- Graphical presentations

1 - Insufficient

Lack of organization of the document's contents. Sentences are difficult to understand.

Comments for improving Academic Style

(maximum 100 words)

PART TWO - CONTENT

Assess the analysis of the country planning system, policies and practices.

Grade from 1 (insufficient) – 7 (very good) according to the following parameters:

Is the Institutional Design framework clearly described?

1 (insufficient) – 7 (very good)

Is the planning issue clearly characterized?

1 (insufficient) – 7 (very good)

Are the stakeholders identified and their roles in the planning issue discussed?

1 (insufficient) – 7 (very good)

Are examples provided to illustrate the Institutional Design of the planning issue?

1 (insufficient) – 7 (very good)



Global Course: Spatial Planning and Institutional Design

Comments for improving the content

(maximum 300 words)

PART THREE – FINAL SCORE

Grade from 1 (insufficient) – 7 (very good) the overall quality of the work.

7 – Very good

The content is clear, well-structured and organized. It is easy to understand the writer's argumentation and examples are given to illustrate them. Several references are provided to support discussion.

5 – Good

The content is clear, easy to understand and organized. It is easy to understand the writer's argumentation and some examples are given to illustrate them. References are provided to support discussion.

3 – Sufficient

The content is difficult to understand. Writer's arguments are fuzzy and few examples are given to illustrate them. Few references are provided to support discussion.

1 – Insufficient

The content is hard to understand. Writer's present little to no arguments. The content is only descriptive and no critical reflection is made. Few/no examples are given to illustrate them. No references are provided to support discussion.

Comments for overall improvement

(maximum 100 words)